Alex Vaxman

The smell of morphemes in the PAF theory: the case of Eastern Mari

This paper is concerned with the accent system of Eastern Literary Mari (ELM), the standardized variety of the Eastern Mari dialectal group. I offer here a complete descriptive characterization of accent assignment in ELM, unavailable to the date in the existing generative literature. I then provide a theoretical account based on the Primary Accent First (PAF) theory (van der Hulst 1996, 2010, 2012) and the *hybrid scale* introduced here.

Eastern Literary Mari is an unbounded Last/First accent weight-sensitive system (lacking rhythm). The core accent rule (Hayes 1995: 296) is given in (1):

- (1) Accent falls on the rightmost heavy syllable of the word; otherwise, accent is initial. For weight purposes, syllables with "full" (i.e. peripheral) vowels are heavy, while syllables with /ə/ are light (Hayes 1995: 296). In addition, we note that final open syllables with a mid vowel (/e/, /o, /ø/) are also light:
- (2) køgør'tsen dove; 'pələs ear; 'syrtø thread; kop'sange beetle

The scope of the accent rule is quite general: accent is assigned without reference to morphological structure, i.e. uniformly in morphologically simple and complex words (3), inflectional and derivational morphology (3)-(4), and indiscriminately for all lexical categories:

| (3) | Nom | Genitive | Inessive | Lative | Gloss |
|-----|------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| | 'mut | 'mutən | 'mut-ə∫to | mu't-e∫ | word |
| | 'vate | 'vat-ən | va'te-∫te | va't-e∫ | wife |
| (4) | derivation | | | | |
| | $A\rightarrow N$ | 'taza | healthy | ta'za-lək | healthiness |
| | V→N | 'pogən | gather | pogən-əˈma∫ | gathering |
| | $N\rightarrow A$ | o'la | city | o'la-se | urban |

However, this phonologically-based accent rule has limitations associated with individual morphemes in the language: certain morphemes are stressed although their syllable is light while certain other morphemes in word-final position fail to receive stress although their syllable is heavy. For example, the suffix /-ge/ (COMIT) in final position always surfaces stressed (see Riese 2012: 97) while the suffix /-la/ (COMPAR) in the same position always surfaces unstressed (5). Therefore, the former is lexically accented and the latter is lexically unaccented.

(5) $jot \int a^{-1}ge$ child-COMIT $tul^{-1}\int ol$ coal $tul^{-1}\int ol^{-1}a$ like coal

At this juncture, we note that, cross-linguistically, both lexical accent and phonological weight can play a role in determining surface stress. van der Hulst (1999: 19) draws this parallel explicitly by proposing that lexical accent *is* a kind of weight, namely "diacritic weight".

The account

- 1. By analogy with phonological weight scales (see Gordon 2006: 27-28), I introduce what I term the "hybrid scale". In a hybrid scale, diacritic weight and phonological weight are integrated into a single, language-specific weight scale.
- 2. Accent in ELM is assigned with reference to the following hybrid scale:
- (6) $h_d > h_p > \{l_p, l_d\}$
- 3. The grammar of ELM contains the scale (6) and the set of PAF parameters in (7):
- (7) {Domain (Unbounded), Select (R), Default (L), EM (No)}

This grammar generates all and only the well-formed stress patterns of Eastern Literary Mari and therefore attains the level of descriptive adequacy in the sense of Chomsky (1964).

References

Chomsky, Noam (1964). Current Issues in Linguistic Theory. In Fodor, J. A. and J. J. Katz (eds.), *The Structure of Language: Readings in the Philosophy of Language*, Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs.

Gordon, Matthew (2006). Syllable weight: phonetics, phonology, typology. Routledge: New York & London.

Hayes, Bruce (1995). Metrical stress theory: principles and case studies. The University of Chicago Press: Chicago & London.

Riese, Timothy, Jeremy Bradley, Emma Yakimova & Galina Krylova (2012). Онай марий йылме: A Comprehensive Introduction to the Mari Language. The University of Vienna.

van der Hulst, H. G. van der (1999). Word accent. In *Word prosodic systems in the languages of Europe*. (EUROTYP 4). Mouton de Gruyter: Berlin & New York, 3-116.

Hulst, H. G. van der (1996). Separating primary and secondary accent. In R. Goedemans, H. van der Hulst & E. Visch (Eds.), *Stress patterns of the world*. The Hague: Holland Academic Graphics.

Hulst, H. G. van der (2010). Representing accent. *Phonological Studies*, 13, 117-128.

Hulst, H. G. van der (2012). Deconstructing stress. Lingua, 122, 1494-1521.